## **AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

## **LISTING OF CLAIMS:**

- 1. (Currently Amended) Method for evaluating defects in textile fabrics, eharacterised in that wherein two parameters are selected for the evaluation, that a classifying matrix (1, 26) is created in which values of the parameters determine class limits, and class limits (4—19) divide the classifying matrix into fields, that the classifying matrix is further divided into at least two areas (17, 18 or 29, 30) in that and a mean value is established for pixels from the flawless fabric for one parameter, and a limit between two areas is established in accordance with a group of pixels with the greatest deviation of the parameter from the mean value, that further wherein the division takes place into at least two areas along the class limits, that values in the fabric are recorded from pixels (37, 38), which represent this, and the values are arranged according to the two selected parameters in the classifying matrix, and that wherein pixels which are arranged in one area of the classifying matrix indicate a possible defect in the fabric.
- 2. (Currently Amended) Method according to Claim 1, characterised in that wherein the intensity of the pixels and the extent thereof are recorded as parameters, and wherein the extent is effected by a plurality of adjacent pixels.

- 3. (Currently Amended) Method according to Claim 2, characterised in that wherein the length is measured as extent, this being formed by a plurality of adjacent pixels of an intensity which is similar, yet deviates from a reference value.
- 4. (Currently Amended) Method according to Claim 1, characterised in that wherein the area for possible defects is further divided into a first area for admissible defects and a second area for inadmissible defects.
- 5. (Currently Amended) Method according to Claim 1, <del>characterised in that</del> wherein the limit between the two areas is automatically determined.
- 6. (Currently Amended) Method according to Claim 5, characterised in that wherein the automatic determination of the upper limit is carried out by means of brightness or intensity values which are recorded and arranged according to magnitude, wherein a value which lies in a group (51) formed by a predeterminable number of the most extreme values is established as the upper limit.
- 7. (Currently Amended) Method according to Claim 6, characterised in that wherein the median value of the brightness or intensity values is determined as the upper limiting value within the group.

8. (Currently Amended) Method according to Claim 5, <del>characterised in that</del> wherein the upper limit for a value range of one parameter is varied.